

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/663,354 09/15/2000 Atsushi Misawa 0879-0273P 1844 EXAMINER BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 CHEN, CHIA WEI A FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2622

03/11/2009 ELECTRONIC

DELIVERY MODE

NOTIFICATION DATE

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 09/663,354
 MISAWA, ATSUSHI

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 CHIA-WELA CHEN
 2632

	CHIA-WEI A. CHEN	2622	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>CHIA-WEI A. CHEN</u> .	(3)Catherine Voisinet.		
(2) <u>Tuan Ho</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>03 March 2009</u> .			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>6-15</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Wakui (US 5,648,816), Sasson (US 5,016,107), Watanabe (US 4,887,161).			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Differences between the application and the prior at were discussed. Applicant's representative explained the use of the non-volatile memory and the memory control means of claims 6-15.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims			
Allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/Tuan V Ho/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622			